Robert D. Wyatt, Esq.
Eileen M. Nottoli, Esq.
BEVERIDGE & DIAMOND
One Sansome Street
Suite No. 3400
San Francisco, California 94104

Attorneys for Respondent Catalina Yachts, Inc.

UNITED STATES
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
REGION IX
75 HAWTHORNE STREET
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105

In the matter of:

CATALINA YACHTS, INC.

DECLARATION OF GERARD
DOUGLAS IN OPPOSITION
TO MOTION FOR ACCELERATED
Respondent
DECISION AND REQUEST FOR
HEARING

DECLARATION

- I, Gerard Douglas do declare as follows:
- 1. Since 1976, I have been employed by Catalina Yachts, Inc. ("Catalina"). One of my responsibilities is managing Catalina's compliance with environmental laws and regulations. The following facts are within my personal knowledge and if called as a witness I could competently testify with respect thereto.
- 2. Catalina designs and constructs moderately priced sail boats at its plant located at 21200 Victory Boulevard, Woodland Hills, California. Catalina is a small family owned

DECLARATION OF GERARD DOUGLAS

3

5

DECLARATION OF GERARD DOUGLAS

corporation and currently has 255 employees at its Woodland Hills Plant.

- 3. Resins that contain styrene are among materials used to construct the sail boats. Acetone has historically been the primary cleaning agent used to clean boat parts. Catalina used resins which contained more than 25,000 pounds of styrene in each year from 1988-1992 and used more than 10,000 pounds of acetone in 1988 and 1989.
- 4. Catalina did not file SARA § 313 Form R reports for styrene in 1988-92 and did not file Form R reports for its use of acetone in 1988-89. The reason Catalina did not file Form R reports is that the Company did not become aware of SARA § 313 Form R reporting requirements until a facility visit by an EPA inspector in November of 1993.
- 5. There is no evidence that Catalina's delay in filing Form R Reports for acetone and styrene has caused any harm to public health or the environment. There have been no unauthorized releases of either material.
- 6. Significantly, on September 30, 1994, EPA proposed de-listing acetone as a toxic chemical under SARA § 313. If acetone is delisted as proposed, facilities would not be required to file Form R reports for this material. EPA states in its proposal that it is recommending the delisting because EPA believes that acetone does not meet the listing criteria for SARA § 313. EPA stated in the Federal Register that "acetone cannot reasonably be anticipated to cause '...significant adverse acute human health effects at

concentration levels that are reasonably likely to exist beyond facility site boundaries as a result of continuous, or frequently recurring releases'." 59 Fed. Reg. 49889 (September 30, 1994). A true and correct copy of EPA's proposed delisting is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

- 7. At all relevant times, Catalina has performed public disclosure and public outreach to the community within which its Woodland Hills plant is located regarding the company's use of styrene and acetone. The following actions were taken:
 - a. Catalina identified its use of resins containing styrene and its use of acetone in its Business Plan which was filed annually with the local fire department for all years relevant to this action. A copy of the filing made on February 20, 1989 is attached hereto as Exhibit B;
 - b. Catalina filed annual reports on its air emissions with the South Coast Air Quality Management District. These reports identified the annual emissions of acetone and polyester gel coat and resin. Copies of the 1988 and 1989 reports are attached hereto as Exhibits C and D;
 - c. Catalina filed a specific report on its styrene emissions with the South Coast Air Quality

 Management District on October 31, 1991. This report is attached hereto as Exhibit E;
 - d. The South Coast Air Quality Management District published several newspaper notices in the Los

Angeles Times which identified Catalina as a source of volatile organic emissions. Copies of notices which appeared on January 7, 1988, September 17, 1988, May 24, 1989, February 9, 1990, and April 10,1991 are attached as Exhibit F;

- e. Catalina held an open house on April 6 and 7,
 1991. Boat owners and community members were
 invited by signs around the plant.

 Approximately 1,000 people attended the open
 house. Many members of the community attended
 the open house and were given a tour of the
 plant which fully described operations and the
 nature of materials used in boat construction.
 Photographs of the open house are attached
 hereto as Exhibit G;
- f. Catalina has met with the Woodland Hills Chamber of Commerce on several occasions to discuss Catalina's facility operations;
- g. Catalina voluntarily initiated a program to find a substitute for acetone to clean boat parts. Significantly, Catalina was the first boat builder to successfully find a substitute for acetone and that success has resulted in Catalina's dramatic decrease in the use of acetone from over 10,000 gallons a year to less than 100 gallons. Since that time, other boat

builders around the country have followed

Catalina's initiative by adopting similar

programs. A true and correct copy of a letter

from our supplier of the substitute confirming

our success is attached hereto as Exhibit H.

- 8. Catalina had a profit of \$226,000 based on over \$52,000,000 in sales in 1988. From 1989-1993, Catalina Yacht operated at a loss, and the combined losses are almost \$4,000,000.
- 9. Catalina had not been aware of the SARA § 313 reporting obligations until late 1993 when an EPA inspector conducted a facility inspection of the Woodland Hills plant. I do not recall receiving any information from any source on SARA § 313 reporting obligations.
 - a. To the best of my knowledge, Catalina had not been informed about SARA § 313 by any government agency outreach efforts. I do not recall receiving any mailing from EPA on the requirements of SARA § 313 in the mid-late 1980's. I understand from EPA staff that EPA Region IX used a mailing list based on companies listed in Dun & Bradstreet in the mid to late-1980's to initially inform companies about the reporting requirements of SARA § 313. Catalina was not listed by Dun & Bradstreet at that time.
 - b. I also had attended during the relevant time frame several workshops on air emissions at the South Coast Air Quality Management District. To the best of my

3

- c. Up until 1988, Catalina prepared all required environmental compliance reports. Since 1988, because of the increased complexity to prepare the expansive state and local reporting requirements and the burden on a small business to prepare these reports, Catalina hired an environmental consultant to prepare environmental compliance reports. The consultant reviewed the operations and did not identify any SARA § 313 reporting requirements.
- d. Catalina does not subscribe to the Federal Register and only receives notices of Coast Guard regulations relevant to small water craft.
- e. Attached hereto as Exhibits I-J are copies of

 Material Safety Data Sheets ("MSDSs") for acetone and
 resins containing styrene used by Catalina. These

 MSDSs either do not contain a reference to SARA § 313
 or contain a statement that the chemical is subject
 to the SARA § 313 reporting requirements but does not
 state that the user may be subject to SARA § 313.
- 10. During the EPA inspection, Catalina fully cooperated with the inspector and provided the inspector access to all relevant records. Once Catalina learned about the SARA § 313 reporting obligations, it took timely action to cause all required reports to be filed.

I declare under penalty of perjury in accordance with the laws of the State of California that the above declaration is true and correct. Executed at Woodland Hills, California this 19th day of October 1994.

DATED: october 19, 1994

#1/eH/1400/3400/DEC.DOU

5

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, Helen Abraham, declare that I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within action. I am employed in San Francisco, California and my business address is One Sansome Street, Suite 3400, San Francisco, California. I am readily familiar with the business practice at my place of business for the collection and processing of correspondence for hand delivery by messenger and/or by mailing with the United States Postal Service. On the date set forth below, the following document:

DECLARATION OF GERARD DOUGLAS IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR ACCELERATED DECISION AND REQUEST FOR HEARING

was placed for service in a sealed envelope to be delivered by messenger with postage prepaid and addressed to:

Regional Hearing Clerk
United States Environmental
Protection Agency
Region IX, RC-1
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105

Presiding Officer
United States Environmental
Protection Agency
Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105

David M. Jones, Esq.
Office of Regional Counsel RC-2-1
United States Environmental
Protection Agency
Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105

and said envelope was hand-delivered by messenger following ordinary business practices.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on October 19, 1994, at San Francisco, California.

Helen Abraham